Improvement/Accountability Plan Template

LEA X School or Charter Martin Lui School Date:5-29-2020 Purpose: To develop a plan for improving One plan may meet the needs of a number Title I.A School Improvement Title I.C Education of Migratory Ch Title I.D Prevention and Interventi	y Public Schools School or Charter: ther King, Jr. Elementary the top 3 needs identified i r of different programs. Ple iildren	ease check all that apply.			
Purpose: To develop a plan for improving One plan may meet the needs of a number Title I.A School Improvement • Title I.C Education of Migratory Ch • Title I.D Prevention and Interventi	r of different programs. Ple ildren	ease check all that apply.			
One plan may meet the needs of a number Title I.A School Improvement • Title I.C Education of Migratory Ch • Title I.D Prevention and Interventi	r of different programs. Ple ildren	ease check all that apply.			
Title I.A School Improvement Title I.C Education of Migratory Ch Title I.D Prevention and Intervention 	ildren				
Title I.C Education of Migratory Children					
What staff/stakeholders have been	Dana Abram – Principal				
involved in the needs assessment and development of this plan?	nd John Williams Vice Principal Vanessa Cunningham - iSpark Teacher				

	Donna Carter - Special Education Teacher				
	Angela Otiker – Instructional Coach				
	-				
	Melinda Madden - Reading Interventionist Philomena Lawson - Math Interventionist				
	Avis May - Physical Education Teacher				
	Olivia Stevenson - Teacher				
	Constance Powell - Teacher				
	David Warren - Teacher/Science Chair				
	Tanya Ricketts - Teacher				
	Tiffiney Tyree - Teacher/Professional Development Chair				
	Kathryn Schroeder - Teacher				
	Alania Davis - Teacher				
	Jacqueline Nalls - Teacher				
	Regina Adams-Leavitt - Teacher				
	Judy Reese - Teacher/PBS Coordinator				
	Stephanie Hawkins - Teacher				
	Kim Twogood - Teacher				
	Angela White - Teacher				
	Rhonda Wright - Parent and Community Engagement Specialist				
	Leon Bailey - Teacher				
	Charlene Jones - Counselor				
	Erica Smith-Parent				
	Anesha Marks-Parent				
What are the key issues identified from	1. Need to improve MAP academic achievement for ELA, Math and Science				
the needs assessment?	2. Need to improve low attendance rates				
	3. Need to improve negative student behaviors and discipline referrals				
What are the prioritized needs for the LEA	1. Improve principal instructional leadership skills by close monitoring of appropriate grade				
or building based on a root cause analysis?	level content and rigor level alignment. (Leadership Pilar)				
	2. Improve ELA, Math, and Science proficiency rates on state MAP, and interim assessments				
	by improving PD and trainings for instruction (CIPD, focused PD, Content Consultants, Deep				
	Dive PLCs and Data Teams, etc.).				
	• Due to COVID-19, faculty and administration will utilize virtual or online means to				
	meet the needs of students' learning to ensure their readiness for each grade				
	level. This may include distance learning, extended school year or further				

professional development. This may also require the purchase of training, materials and/or technology devices in order to carry out the learning and meet families' needs during this time of crisis.
3. Improve the student attendance percentage averages by implementing regular incentives
for students, and providing parent education and information centered around student attendance.
4. Decrease the amount of discipline referrals by providing PBIS implementation to fidelity,
providing parent and family education on positive behavior.

The Goals and the Plan							
(Choose no more than 3 goals to focus on with your improvement plan)							
Goal #1 - Circle the appropriate Pillar of the Continuous Improvement System this goal falls under:							
x Leadership Collaborative Effective Teaching Data-Based Decision Making Alignment of Standards a							
	Climate and	and Learning		Curriculum			
	Culture						
SMART (Specific, Measurable	, Achievable, Relevant a	ind Timely) Goal #1					
By May 2021, King leadership	staff will increase teach	er effectiveness in the a	rea of instruction with				
 80% of teachers ratin 	g in the Advanced catego	ories in the Rigor domai	n of the time as measured by the	KCPS walkthrough form.			
 65% of teachers ratin 	g Effective in overall inst	ruction					
Specific - increase teacher eff	ectiveness						
Measurable - KCPS Walkthrou	igh Form (50% of teache	rs rating in Advanced ir	the Rigor domain 80% of the tim	e)			
Achievable - teacher observat	ions and individualized f	eedback and follow-up					
Rationale - teachers are rating	g low in effectiveness du	ring KCPS Walkthrough	s/Observations as well as Staff M	apping (KCPS Walkthroughs for			
2018-19: 70% Advanced/Lead	ing Categories; Staff Ma	pping for 2018-19: 50%	of teachers rated Effective in don	nain of Rigor)			
Time - May 2021 School year	2020-2021 monitoring a	t 30, 60, and 90 day inc	rements with adjustments as need	ded			
Rationale (name of the existi	ng conditions/data poin	ts to support the select	tion of the objective/goal)				
This aligns with the KCPS Stra	egic Priorities Pillar C - (Caring, Effective, Teach	ers in Every Classroom and Effect	ive Leaders in Every School and			
Pillar D - Data-Informed Effect	tive & Efficient Systems						
KCPS Walkthroughs for 2018-	19: 70% Advanced/Leadi	ng Categories; Staff Ma	pping for 2018-19: 50% of teache	rs rated Effective in domain of			
Rigor		_					

Data Mapping shows high levels of student engagement and low levels of rigor within the instruction, and low achievement levels on various interim and common assessments

Strategies for Improvement

- 1. Monitor instruction by way of KCPS/TNTP Walkthrough Form
- 2. Implement Observation Feedback Cycle with a focus on lesson planning and walkthroughs
- 3. PLC meetings with a gradual release of responsibility that is appropriate based on independent PLC progress and results.
- 4. Implement Standards Mastery feature on iReady Assessments in 1-3 grade levels
- 5. Implement Instructional Rounds with peer observations
- 6. Principal and Assistant Principal will actively engage in Missouri Leadership Development System

Funding Source(s): Comprehensive Budget

Action Steps	Start Date	Person	Resources	Completed/Date
		Responsible		•
30 Days	8/10/20	Dana Abram (P)	Survey Monkey for Staff	
1.Gather data for teacher		John Williams (VP)	Instructional Needs	• 10/9/20
need (observations, surveys)		Angela Otiker (IC)	Curriculum Maps Curriculum	
2.PD and Learning Camp on		CIPD Content	Scope & Sequence	
Effective		Coordinators	Building Substitutes	
Instructional Practices where		Addie Hawkins	(Comprehensive Budget)	
teachers are			Addye Hawkins: PLC	
engaged with best practices			Consultant (Comprehensive	
and opportunities to			Budget)	
lesson study as well as plan,				
and observe				
effective teaching practices				
for traditional and virtual.				
60 Days	8/17/20	Dana Abram(P)		
1.Observation Feedback Cycle		John Williams (VP)		• 11/11/20
for all classroom teachers		Angela Otiker (IC)		OFC monthly suggest
2.PLC agendas (focus on		PLC Teams		OFC- monthly, every
baseline data)				6-weeks for intensive
3.Admin team data analysis				coaching
on teacher ratings				
	9/14/20	Dana Abram(P)	Classroom Substitutes	• 1/11/21
90 Days		John Williams (VP)	(Comprehensive Budget)	

1.Extended PLC Time w/data	Angela Otiker (IC)	Addye Hawkins: PLC	
teams, instructional rounds	Leadership Team	, Consultant (Comprehensive	
2.Admin team data analysis	Dr. Phil Adam:	Budget)	
on teacher ratings	iReady Consultant	iReady Consultant	
3.Standards Mastery PD and	,	,	
Training			
Long Range	Dana Abram(P)	Classroom Substitutes for	
1.Full functioning PLCs w/data	Vice Principal	PD/Training (Comprehensive	• 1/25/21
focus		Budget)	
2.Full implementation of		0, 1	
Standards Mastery			
3. Increased effectiveness and			
knowledge of virtual			
instruction			

Leadership	Collaborative	Effective	Data-Based Decision	x Alignment of Standards and		
	Climate and	Teaching and	Making	Curriculum		
	Culture	Learning				
SMART (Specific, Measurable, Achi	evable, Relevant and Tir	mely) Goal #2				
By May 2021, students in grades 3-6	will improve proficiency	y levels in				
 English language arts (ELA), annually. 	Math, and Science profi	ciency levels as meas	ured by the Missouri Asses	ssment Program (MAP) by 5%		
 iReady Growth 						
 30% of student grow 	vth for Reading Tier 1 Pr	oficient/On grade lev	el from Diagnostic 1 to Dia	gnostic 3		
 35% of student grow 	vth for Math Tier 1 Profi	cient/On grade level	from Diagnostic 1 to Diagn	ostic 3		
Achievement Series Growtl	ı					
 50% proficiency on 	Quarterly Post Test in all	content areas				
Specific - increase student achieven	ent in all content areas					
Measurable - MAP, iReady Growth,	Achievement Series Gro	wth/Proficiency				
Achievable - Professional Learning (Communities w/data tea	ms, Evidence-based t	teaching strategies, Approp	riate Rigor of content,		
instructional tasks and activities, an	d formative assessments	s so that alignment is	evident			
Rationale - students need to improve proficiency levels in all content areas on various assessments						

MAP Data

ELA: 19.7% (2016), 26.6% (2017), 12.0% (2018)

Math: 6.6% (2016), 7.2% (2017), 6.1% (2018)

Science: 5.0% (2016), 8.5% (2017)

iReady Growth/Proficiency Data

-25% of student growth for Reading Tier 1 Proficient/On grade level from Diagnostic 1 to Diagnostic 3

-30% of student growth for Math Tier 1 Proficient/On grade level from Diagnostic 1 to Diagnostic 3

Achievement Series Growth/Proficiency Data

-Average 39.6% in Grades 3-6 ELA; Average 41.2% in Grades 3-6 Math; Average 32.5% in Grades 3-6 Science

Time - May 2020 School year 2019-2020 monitoring at 30, 60, and 90 day increments with adjustments as needed

Rationale (name of the existing conditions/data points to support the selection of the objective/goal)

This aligns with the KCPS Strategic Priorities Pillar A - Personalized, Rigorous, Culturally Responsive Teaching & Learning.

Our building has consistently produced low percentages of students scoring in proficient and advanced achievement levels over the last 3 years.

ELA: 19.7% (2016), 26.6% (2017), 12.0% (2018)

Math: 6.6% (2016), 7.2% (2017), 6.1% (2018)

Science: 5.0% (2016), 8.5% (2017)

iReady Growth/Proficiency Data

-25% of student growth for Reading Tier 1 Proficient/On grade level from Diagnostic 1 to Diagnostic 3

-30% of student growth for Math Tier 1 Proficient/On grade level from Diagnostic 1 to Diagnostic 3

Achievement Series Growth/Proficiency Data

-Average 39.6% in Grades 3-6 ELA; Average 41.2% in Grades 3-6 Math; Average 32.5% in Grades 3-6 Science

As a way to increase levels of collaboration, continuing the work of professional learning communities will help the school community to see student learning, not teaching, as their mission. The policies, instruction, curriculum, programs, professional development, and other functions of the school all support student learning. In maintaining this constant focus on learning, four questions become paramount:

1. What should students know and be able to do?

2. How will the school determine that students have learned the essential knowledge and skills?

3. How will the school respond when students do not learn?

4. How will the school respond when they already know it?

The PLC school-improvement model focuses on increasing student achievement by building the capacity of school personnel to create and sustain the conditions that promotes high levels of student and adult learning.

Strategies for Improvement

1. Teachers will meet in data teams by way of Professional Learning Communities on a bi-monthly basis to engage in an intense, deep dive into data for formative, summative, and interim assessments in order to adjust or modify instruction.

- 2. PD centered around evidence-based instructional strategies for teachers to implement into classroom instruction. This would include a focus on, but not limited to standards-based instruction, formative assessment, Kagan strategies, effective math practices, annotating the text, etc.
- 3. RTI Implementation and Usage as a result of PLC/Data Teams and Assessment Analysis
- 4. Tomorrow's Promise Today (TPT) for academic intervention/enrichment for Math and ELA
- 5. Utilize a Backwards Design Approach
- 6. Alignment of Math Curriculum through common formative assessments
- 7. Teachers are working together to discuss the alignment needed for grade level success (what will kids need to know)
- 8. Teachers are using diagnostic assessment tools to gather skills gaps within the classroom and personalizing with precision
- 9. Students will practice with Science Inquiry/Labs at each grade level
- 10. During PLCs, teachers are looking at CFA, individual data, and backwards planning for success of students using the 4 corollary questions.
- 11. Incorporate Reading and ELA Interventionists for both primary and intermediate grade levels.
- **12.** Consultations and Trainings (Dana Vonotoure, Deb Diller, CIPD Content Coordinators)

Funding Source(s): Comprehensive Budget

Action Steps	Start Date	Person	Resources	Completed/Date
		Responsible		• •
30 Days	8/10/20	Dana Abram (P)	Survey Monkey on Staff	
1.Staff Assessment/Survey		John Williams	Needs	• 10/5/20
2.Assessment Training/PD		(VP)	PLC Consultant	
3. Virtual Training/PD		Leadership Team	(Comprehensive	
3.Unpacking of MLS w/analysis		Reading	Budget)	
4. Consultation with Addye Hawkins		Interventionist	Virtual Training, PD,	
for PLC/data teams support		Math	Materials	
5. Intervention Grouping		Interventionist	(Comprehensive	
6. Intervention software for students			Budget)	
7. Integrating rigor into daily			Interventionists	
instruction			(Comprehensive	
			Budget)	
60 Days	9/14/20	Dana Abram(P)	Missouri Learning	• 11/2/20
1.Unpacking of MLS w/analysis		John Williams	Standards	 ongoing
2. Initial Data Dive with baseline data		(VP)	iReady Tools	
in PLC's		Angela Otiker (IC)	QRI Assessment	
		Math Consultant		

 3. Updated Data Walls with testing data visible/current in PLC's 4. PD/Trainings by content consultants and CIPD Coordinators 5.MAP Released Item Assessments 		ELA Consultant CIPD Content Coordinators	MAP Released Item Assessments Math Consultant (Comprehensive Budget) ELA Consultant (Comprehensive Budget)	
90 Days 1.PLC Teams work to develop a system for creating rigorous Common Formative Assessments and the processes and goals for each grade level 2.PLC Teams work to develop a instructional tasks and activities appropriately rigorous for the grade level standard	9/12/20	Dana Abram (P) John Williams (VP) Leadership Team	Missouri Learning Standards iReady Tools Curriculum Maps Curriculum Scope & Sequence	 12/14/20 Developing Assessments and Instructional tasks and activities will be ongoing.
Long Range 1.Focused instructional strategies fully implemented in classrooms 2.Trained staff with content strategies, Kagan strategies, rigor strategies, etc. 3.Data-driven instruction evident in all classrooms		Dana Abram (P) John Williams (VP)	Kagan Consultant (Comprehensive Budget)	• 1/22/21

Goal #3 - Circle the appropriate Pillar of the Continuous Improvement System this goal falls under:

Leadership	X Collaborative Climate and Culture	Effective Teaching and	Data-Based Decision Making	Alignment of Standards and Curriculum			
		Learning					
SMART (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant and Timely) Goal #3							
By May 2021, King Elementary will incre	ase positive culture and	d climate in grades K-	6 by				
 increasing daily attendance percent 	entage by 10% annuall	y					
 decreasing discipline referrals by 25% annually. 							
Specific - increase positive culture and c	limate in grades K-6						
Measurable - examining and analyzing n	nonthly attendance and	l behavior data (refer	rals)				
Achievable - goal setting for the building	g, classrooms, and stude	ents, regular incentive	es for positive behavior and att	endance, character			
education for students, staff, and familie	25						
Rationale - Student Attendance- 76.7%	(2016); 76.8% (2017); 6	3.4% (2018)					
Behavior Referrals- 481 (2017); 325 (202							
Timing - May 2021 School year 2020-202	Timing - May 2021 School year 2020-2021 monitoring at 30, 60, and 90 day increments with adjustments as needed						
Rationale (name of the existing conditions/data points to support the selection of the objective/goal)							
This aligns with the KCPS Strategic Priori	This aligns with the KCPS Strategic Priorities Pillar B - Safe Climate and Strong Relationships with Families & Community.						
90/90 & Proportional Attendance Rates	have consistently been	low compared to oth	er elementary schools				
Rationale - Student Attendance- 76.7%	(2016); 76.8% (2017); 6	3.4% (2018)					
Behavior Referrals- 481 (2017); 325 (202	18); 121 (2019)						
Strategies for Improvement							
1. Professional Learning Communit	ies						
2. Attendance Team							
PST (Problem Solving Team)							
4. RTI (Response to Intervention)							
5. Parent Newsletter (Monthly)							
6. Full Time Parent-Family Liaison							
7. Restorative Practices for staff							
8. Trauma Sensitive PD/Training							
Funding Source(s): Comprehensive Bud	get						
Action Steps	Start Date	Person Responsible	Resources	Completed/Date			
30 Days	8/10/20	Dana Abram	School Messenger				
		John Williams (VP)	School Intercom	• 9/14/20			
		Kathy Alder	Printed Posters				

1.Announcements for Classrooms		Drop-out	Full Time Parent-Family	
making the 90/90 Goal Daily in Eagle		Prevention	Liaison (Comprehensive	
Shout Outs		Specialist	Budget)	
2.School-Wide PBS Campaign		School	Restorative Practices	
(Posters, Matrices, Lessons)		Attendance	Training (Comprehensive	
3. Promote Importance of Attendance		Committee	Budget)	
at Back to School Night		Judy Reese - PBIS	Budget)	
•		Coor.		
4. Restorative Practices Training		PBIS Cmte.		
5. Virtual and Social Distancing		PBIS Cmte.		
Expectations for PBIS	0/0/20			
60 Days	9/8/20	Rhonda Wright	Regular Incentives	
1.Monthly Attendance Incentive;		Kathy Alder	(Comprehensive Budget)	• 11/16/20
2. Improved Attendance Award		Yolanda McRoy	Trauma-Sensitive PD &	
3. Nudge Postcard reminders		School	Materials	
4. Trauma-Sensitive Training /PD		Attendance	(Comprehensive Budget)	
5. Culturally Responsive PD		Committee	Culturally Responsive PD &	
			Materials	
			(Comprehensive Budget)	
90 Days	9/13/20	Dana Abram (P)	Regular Incentives	
1.Thank You Notices to Parents 85%	(Quarters 1 & 2)	John Williams (VP)	(Comprehensive Budget)	• 1/8/20
and Above		School		
2. Utilize funds from KCPS and CSIP to		Attendance		
support monthly attendance		Committee		
incentives				
Long Range		Dana Abram (P)	Regular Incentives	
1.Monthly Student Attendance		John Williams (VP)	(Comprehensive Budget)	• 12/218/20
Celebrations		Attendance		
2.Data Walls for attendance and		Committee		
behavior referrals visible/current		PBS Committee		
3. Quarterly Attendance recognition		1		

Superintendent	Date
State Supervisor, School Improvement	 Date
Federal Programs Supervisor/School Improvement Staff	Date